AGENDA CLEAR HILLS COUNTY POLICY & PRIORITY COUNCIL MEETING WEDNESDAY, MAY 22, 2019

The Policy & Priority meeting of the Council for Clear Hills County will be held on Wednesday, May 22, 2019, commencing at 9:30 a.m. in the Council Chambers of the County Office, Worsley, Alberta.

1.	CALL TO ORDER	_
2.	AGENDA	
3.	MINUTES	
4.	DELEGATION(S)	
7.	D. TIMICO OTOOK TIQUKT III	. 2 . 9 12 13

12. ADJOURNMENT

Request For Decision (RFD)

Meeting: Policies & Priorities Meeting

Meeting Date: May 22, 2019

Originated By: Council

Title: Village of Hines Creek

File: 68-02-02

DESCRIPTION:

Council requested a discussion regarding the Village of Hines Creek.

BACKGROUND:

P129-19(03-25-19)

RESOLUTION by Deputy Reeve Fletcher that this committee table the discussion regarding the Village of Hines Creek until the next Policy and Priority Meeting.

CARRIED.

	Village of Hines Cr	eek previous funding
Year	Amount	Information
2019	\$500,000	Motion C631-17
2018	\$500,000	Motion C631-17
2017	\$200,000	Motion C483-16
2016	\$267,000	Motion C437-15
2015	\$200,000	Motion C622-14
2013	\$1,000,000	
2012	\$500,000	
2011	\$145,000	annually ended in 2011

ATTACHMENTS

Request for funding

Village of Hines Creek Information

Village of Hines Creek & Clear Hills County incentive information

RECOMMENDATION:

RESOLUTION BY to recommend Council

Initials show support - Reviewed by: Manager: CAO:



RECEIVED

TO THE CONTRACT CONTRACTO

Clear Hills County Box 240 Worsley, Alberta TOH 3W0

March 12, 2019

File No: 0126

Dear Reeve Croy, and Council

Re: 2019 Request for Funding

On behalf of Village of Hines Creek Council I want to extend our appreciation for your willingness to discuss the viability of the Village at the February 15, 2019 joint council's meeting.

At this time Council is requesting an additional \$214,000.00 to go towards the tax recovery property process within the Village, securing funding at this time enables us to go forward with cleanup of certain properties. We are diligently working to compile a 5 Year Capital plan and will have it to you for your consideration prior to the 2020 budget process.

I am also requesting the release of the unconditional funding for Operating in the amounts of \$500,000.00 for the 2019 year.

On behalf of the Village Council and Staff I am extremely grateful for your Council's contribution to our community.

Yours truly,

Leanne Walmsley

Chief Administrative Officer

Gearn Walnesly

Village of Hines Creek Policy & Priority Meeting Information

Elected Officials	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County
Mayor/Reeve	Hazel Reintjes	Miron Croy
Deputy	Camille Zavisha	Dan Fletcher
Councillor	Megan Bjornson	Peter Frixel
Councillor	*The village currently has a	Jason Ruecker
Councillor	ministerial order allowing them	David Janzen
Councillor	to run with 3 elected officials	Raymond Wetmore
Councillor		Amber Bean

Population	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County	Discussions
Total Population	346	3033	Discussed the ward
Population by ward	134		boundary
Ward 1	*The Village does not	499	
Ward 2	have a ward system	469	moving the ward line
Ward 3		403	between wards 1 & 2
Ward 4		431	to include the village
Ward 5		603	
Ward 6		432	
Ward 7		196	

Misc.	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County
Full time employees	4	13
Memberships in regional Commissions	NPRL	NPRL
Total Area of Municipality (H)	289.9 H	1,468,352.0
Number of Hamlets	0	2
Length of open roads maintained (km)	12.50	1,895.60
Paved Roads (km)		67
Paved Roads in Hamlets (km)		4
Water mains length (km)	5	69.90
Waste water Mains Length (km)	5	5.80
Storm Drainage Mains Length (km)	0.49	
Number of Dwelling Units	181	1,072
Regional Water line (km)		64

Legislated Organizations	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County
Planning agency (MMSA)	None	Yes
Housing management body	Yes	Yes
(NPHF)		

Taxation & assessment	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County	Discussions
Municipal Tax Rate			
Residential	17.11	1.30221	
Farmland	17.11	6.97055	
Non-Residential	36.72	14.64590	-Possibly lower to keep businesses from leaving the area -Settings separate rates for village to cover infrastructure costs
Machinery & Equipment	36.72	14.64590	
Assessment		HILL - 2 11	
Residential	13,218,550	135,460,430	
Farmland		40,666,250	
Non-Residential	6,705,070	651,507,060	
Machinery & Equipment	19,923,620	263,677,720	

Finance (2017)	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County	Discussions
Assets	1,551,776	35,084,879	
Liabilities	75,502	4,509,623	
Net Financial Assets (Net Debt)	1,476,274	30,575,526	
Non-Financial Assets	8,038,289	86,548,065	
Accumulated Surplus	9,541,563	117,123,321	
Outstanding Debt	500,000.00	0	

Item	Village Cost	County Cost	Discussions
Recreational Sites Within the Village (Rec Board Funding)	County	84,500 through Rec Board funding. HC Arena	Continue to provide
Hines Creek Seniors Handi Bus	County	18,550 100% of all costs for operating and maintaining	Continue to provide
Recycle Bins	County	6,000 annually	Continue to provide
Fire fighting services	5%	95%	Continue to provide
Fire Fighting Equipment		100%	Continue to provide
FCSS Funding	Village gives 100% to School liaison position (PRSD)	Application process, various programs	
Street Sweeper	County	3,000 annually to store to store in HC	Continue to provide
Weed control	County	Cost recovery	Continue to provide
Development Services	County	Cost recovery	Continue to provide
Continuing Education		100%	Continue to provide
Mutual Aid Emergency	Assistance when needed	Assistance when needed	Continue to provide

Services	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County & Hamlets	Discussions
*Recycling (County Supplied)	County	100%	Continue to provide
Transfer Stations	County	9 facilities through- out County	Continue to provide
Curb Side Garbage collection	Water, Sewer, Garbage: Residential 168.00/bimonthly	0	Follow County procedure (private)
Water Meters	Commercial 176.00/bimonthly Metered Accounts - The	\$335-\$2,010 per water meter	All residents & businesses will be metered
Domestic potable water	Village has 7 metered	.021 per gallon	Regional
Industrial potable water	accounts and are charged	.05 per gallon	Water line tie
Industrial non-potable water	as follows:	.04 per gallon	in to Village.
	Flat Rate 27.00 for first	.0060 per gallon	Cost recovery
*Raw water Supply (shortage) Hamlet/Village Snow	3000 gallons used and 6.75 for each additional 1000 gallons thereafter Water Meters: In 2015 the Village received funding through the Federal Gas Tax Program for a water meter project however, as the monies were not spent within two years, we must reallocate those monies to a different project. Public Works provides for	Contracted	Contracted
removal	snow removal from roadways, alleyways and sidewalks Private Snow Removal is provided at a fee of \$20.00/driveway only after essential snow removal is completed however administration recommends that residents contact privately for snow removal as the Village does not want to compete with private industry.		

Village of Hines Creek Policy & Priority Meeting Information

Senyloes	Village of Hines Creek	Clear Hills County & Hamlets	Discussion
*Street sweeper	0	100%	Continue to provide
Fire services	5%	95%	Continue to provide
Recreations	Total Arena & Fitness Center Expenses \$135,443.53 Total Arena & Fitness Center Revenue		Recreation Operating Committee? All recreation
	\$158,503.93		eg. Arena, spray park
Emergency management			Continue
Business licencing	yes	-	Remove from Services
Fire Permits	yes		Remove from Services
Seniors		Senior Driveway Snowplowing/summer grading	Continue to follow County procedure
Grading/maintenance		Contracted	Continue
Rental Equipment		100 % County owned	Continue
Subdivision & expansion	The Village does not have any subdivision plans currently.		Create HC Development Planning Committee

Current 2018 Mill Rate Bylaw	Rate Bylaw	20% reduction contribution (cost to County)	on (cost to County)	25% reduction contribution (cost to County)	rtion (cost to County)
VHC-Residential	17.11	Residential	\$45,234	Residential	\$56,542
VHC-Non-Residential	36.72	Non-Residential	\$49,242	Non-Residential	\$61,552
CHC-Residential	1.3	Residential	\$35,280	Residential	\$44,099
CHC-Non-Residential	14.65	Non-Residential	\$55,335	Non-Residential	\$69,169

	Curre	Current 2018 Mill Rate Bylaw	20% Co.	20% County funded Supplement	ement	25%	25% County funded supplement	lement
	Mill	Tax Levy	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	County Supplement	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	County Supplement
Residential	17.11	\$226,169	13.688	\$180,935	\$45,234	12.8325	\$169,627	\$56,542
Non- Residential	36.72	\$246,210	29.376	\$196,968	\$49,242	27.54	\$184,657	\$61,552

	Current	Current 2018 Mill	20% County fur	County funded Economic Growth Incentive	rowth Incentive	25% County	funded Econom	25% County funded Economic Growth Incentive
	Rate	Rate Bylaw		(EGI)		or ga	(EGI)	
	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	EGI	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	EGI
Residential	1.3	\$176,398	1.3	\$176,398	\$35,280	1.3	\$176,398	\$44,099
Non- Residential	14.65	\$276,676	14.65	\$276,676	\$55,335	14.65	\$276,676	\$69,169

Request For Decision (RFD)

Meeting:

Policies & Priorities Meeting

Meeting Date:

May 22, 2019

Originated By:

Council

Title: File: Hines Creek Truck Fill 11-02-02 & 41-09-25

DESCRIPTION:

Council requested a discussion regarding a Hines Creek Truck Fill.

BACKGROUND:

P130-19(03-25-19)

RESOLUTION by Councillor Janzen that this committee table the discussion regarding the Hines Creek Truck Fill until a future Policy and Priority Meeting.

CARRIED.

ATTACHMENT:

- 1. Information
- 2. Dugout Location Map & Hines Creek Well Location

RECOMMENDATION:

RESOLUTION BY to recommend Council

Initials show support - Reviewed by:

Manager:

CAO:



Herbicide & Pesticide Information

According to Vaughn Leuschen, a well-known and respected research biologist in Alberta that develops herbicide and pesticide formulations, as long as the water is less than 500 ppm in hardness and pH level between 6.5 and 7.2 efficacy of herbicides and pesticides will not be compromised.

Eureka River Truck fill - Non- potable

Project Costs:

Overall cost \$118,268.70

Items included in the cost:

- > Land Purchase
- > Brushing the area around the dugout
- > Installation of power
- > Construction of the building
- > Pump and required materials to get it running

Water Samples:

- > Samples have been collected from Worsley Wastewater Truck & Eureka River Truck Fill and sent to lab for analysis
- > Results are not back.

Nowacing Age	S. KAY	SANA SANA		Graige of Header	ANOTE AND AUGIE
081 081 088 0 180	ASAGOS AS ASAGOS AS ASAGOS AS ASAGOS ASAGOS ASAGOS ASAGOS ASAGOS AS ASAGOS AS ASAGOS AS ASAGO	CORNESS CORNESS CORNESS CORNESS	Donnard Live	1 6	JAKE MI
C E M C E M C E M	ENGINESSON CONTRACTOR OF THE C	DE METERS OF THE SERVICE OF THE SERV	South of the state	DE M ADBERT ANNS LTD	ONAGLE G & W D & M D & M
- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CE CE CENTRAL CONTROL	DOS OS O	MOCHINAS 10 PARKER 10 PARKER 1	D& NI XOBREAT FARMS UTD D& MI AMANS UTD DO NEW STILD OF K & S COMPACT DO NEW STILD OF K & S COMPACT DE PRESENTATION OF K & S COMPACT DE PRESENTATION DE PRESEN	OUTSTEEN GUSTERN OUTSTEEN OUTS
Sao 18	CALLING CALLIN	KCODOERT IN THE CANAL PROPERTY IN THE CANAL	DE W TANGERA TANGER	MIKE US WARES	D& M KOBBERT D& M KOBBERT D& M
DEI C M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	CANANA & STINIPSON G	KOPAEUL KOPAEU KOPAEU KOPAEU KOPAEU KOPAEUL KO	OCCUPATION LESS LESS LESS LESS LESS LESS LESS LES	OB M KOBBERT FAMASITO P FRYKAS D FRYKAS D FRYKAS D FRYKAS D FRYKAS D FRYKAS	Namon
KOBBERT E B.M. KOBBERT D COCHILLAD DUCAS, DUCAS, DUCAS,	KOBSERT E & M KOBSERT [& M DUCKS DUCKS	AND	8 P.1	KOB BENT KARAS TO AND WEEK AND WEEK AND REAL STREET AND REAL S	K& M K& M K& M
MAXWO MA	KOBBERT B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	KOBBERT E & M. B. E.	KURYLUK KURYLUK KARY K B BERG H	FRYKAS FRYKAS FRYKAS NOWEK J &L	CHARGINAL CHARGI
CCHNEEN COUNTAIN COUNTAI	DEM NOBERT FARMY STB BUKER A & B KONRAD H & I	MY SO THE STATE OF		FRYKAS CONJULING UD FRYKAS K S M KURYLUK E 8 M K S M K	CHLACOLUN D R F CHLADOIUN B & B & B & B D & M KDB4EIRI FAANS (TD
SERVICE TERM	D LANG D& J CLANDGE D& J CLANDGE OB A A	TOCHNOTAL ROSHICH R	NPACE NAME OF STREET	FAYKAS OF BURNION OF HEAK KURYLUK OF HEAK KURYLUK OF HEAK HEAK	HIXEL LARGE PROCESS OF A PARTIES OF A PARTIE
TO THE STATE OF TH	OCCUPIEZAD D & S TOCCUPIEZAD D & S BUKER BUKER A & A SCHILLER SCHILLER	TOTHER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	MBKC S AM S S S A S S A S S A S S A S S A S S A S	COMMONANT CONTROLLING CONTROLL	CHARGAUX OBAJ OBAJ FUE OBEN ADDRES TO CAMASS LIU
Andrew Alastra	The state of the s	LOCHILLES LOCALINES (IN THE NUMBER NAME OF NAM		FRYKAS KEM KEM KEM KEM	TUCKUK CS.
729	Control of the contro	KOBHERT TOCHERO		Saw Saw Saw Sawa Sawa Sawa Sawa Sawa	FERENCE COLORER COLORE
contrar of the contra	Catalogues (Catalogues)	NOSSENI WILLIAMS	CONTRACTOR OF LAND LAND LAND LAND LAND LAND LAND LAND	DEFERENCE OF STATE OF	HODVER BER BER BER BER BER BER BER BER BER B
_	Incomean to comean to comean to comean	DAT CHIML OAT LEA LEA NUMBER NUM	AMBECCHIT	KIPKE IN & R KIPKE IN & KIPKE IN	LEFF912 AUBURA LEFFE AUBURA LID LID LICASUAW T T T T T ALAGE LID LICASUAW T T ALAGE LID LICASUAW T T ALAGE LID LICASUAW T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
Juc	e Bs	Contractors Contr	KRAMER B NOSS (TIMBER S CALCANA	ALBERTO LID ZO
Dugout	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	COLUMNIA CONTROL OF THE COLUMNIA COLUMN	TATES OF THE STATES OF THE STA	CHANCHUK CHANCHUK Fill First Fill First Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fil	ALBERTA LID LID LID LID LID LID LID LID LID LID
	KLASSEN J. &. S KLASSEN J. &. S KLASSEN J. &. S	1 1	NAME OF STREET	CHACONS CAA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	HAYWARD HAYWARD 11 DE 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
.00	ALBERTAL LTD LTD FERRIS E M.W.	M M I STORY OF THE	DUCGAN	ROHRAD 112.1	HAWKAGO NOZP G HAWKAGO P & M P & M P & M P & M
ations	CORPUS COMMERS. CORPUS COMMERS. COMMERS. COMMERS. COMMERS. COMMERS.	ZAMBAN CITE EX COLOR IN CINCRE EX COLOR IN CITE EX COLOR IN CITE EX COLOR IN CITE EX COLOR	17711 0 BV8H 16-011	MASSON D&R	DESEND 735196 ALBERIA ADDITION TO
S	COROTRO CHAIMERS	SOM CIPE	HRAD SOLDER MOST	O WUELL B GWBII B GWBI	Jarra Sousek
•	-	200 - 2	SORROFT MOREOTT MAST SORROFT S	HAAB BET BET	ACCULATE OF STANKES
Sand H	Scoon B	- B		HEAD DESCRIPTION BELL AND BELL	
Sand Hill Interval.	Disercians Disercians		SAMICH FARMS (UD)	Toward Park	Control of the second s
MOUNT IN THE PROPERTY OF THE P	ROP TANKS		STATE OF STA	The second secon	STATE OF STA
DAS		George Charles		LUXA	CHANGE CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF
SAME BIND SAME DUGGAN DUGGAN GERI DOWNAN S DESS DESS DESS DESS DESS DESS DESS	TANK THE PARTY OF	The second second	SORGO SORGO SORGO ANDER LIP LIP LIP LIP LIP LIP LIP LIP		Mariana Jana Jana Jana Jana Jana Jana Jana
	-		EMSSEN REIT & COMMAND AND A LASS COMMAND A LASS COMMAND AND A LASS COMMAND A LASS COMMAND A LASS COMMAND A L	NSSEN (1982)	LUKA LUKA
CIEGG CIF	Dam Dam LDOGS LDOGS LDOGS LDOGS LDOGS			OCCUPANTAL CONTRACTOR	ר מאא וויאא
B G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	LOROFF LOROFF	Man	Polyrotson 16P 16P 16P 16P 16P 16P	O 8 O B O SWITTER	KAUP 36
S S S NOWAY	HANDSHED STATE OF THE CHOILE	200 × 100 ×	Agranda - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 1	BIAKE	c mg

Request For Decision (RFD)

Meeting: Policies & Priorities Meeting

Meeting Date: May 22, 2019

Originated By: Council

Title: May 23, 2019, West end School Tour

File: 68-02-02

DESCRIPTION:

Council requested a discussion regarding the Thursday, May 23, 2019, West end School Tour

BACKGROUND:

Funding for the 3 West End School	S	
Trades Training	2012-2018	\$319,255.21
FCSS	2010-2019	\$536.484.00
Menno Simons School upgrade	2018-2019	\$3,000,000.00
Hines Creek Parking Lot expansion	2016	\$143,617.57

RECOMMENDATION:

RESOLUTION BY to recommend Council

Initials show support - Reviewed by: Manager: CAO:

Request For Decision (RFD)

Meeting:

Policies & Priorities Meeting

Meeting Date:

May 22, 2019

Originated By:

Council

Title:

Grain Bag disposal

File:

11-02-02

DESCRIPTION:

Councillor Frixel requested a discussion regard the used grain bags being disposed within the County.

BACKGROUND:

Clear Hills County

GRAIN BAG ROLLER- Self powered, gas motor

\$50.00 deposit - No rental Charge

5 year total users = 61

The Alberta government announced they are moving forward with funding for a three-year pilot project of \$750,000. The Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG) has submitted an application to access the \$750,000 of funding to cover the costs of a market assessment and a pilot project over a three-year period (2019-2021) with the expectation that there will be a rollout into a permanent program by 2022.

RECOMMENDATION:

ATTACHMENTS:

- Agricultural Plastics Recycling in Alberta Whitepaper
- Letter to APRG Members and Provincial Stakeholders
- Problem statement and Outcomes

RESOLUTION BY to recommend Council

Initials show support - Reviewed by:

Manager:

CAO:



Clear Hills County Request For Decision (RFD)

Meeting:

Agricultural Service Board

Meeting Date:

January 14, 2019

Originated By:

Greg Coon, Agricultural Fieldman **Agricultural Plastics Program**

Title: File:

63-10-02

DESCRIPTION:

The Board is presented with an update on the Agricultural Plastics Recycling program from the Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group.

BACKGROUND:

The Alberta government announced they are moving forward with funding for a threeyear pilot project of \$750,000. The Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG) has submitted an application to access the \$750,000 of funding to cover the costs of a market assessment and a pilot project over a three-year period (2019-2021) with the expectation that there will be a rollout into a permanent program by 2022.

There is a communications strategy for the pilot to help communicate to all stakeholders, so expect to see more details released once the application is accepted by the Province.

<u>ATTACHMENTS:</u>

- Agricultural Plastics Recycling in Alberta Whitepaper
- Letter to APRG Members and Provincial Stakeholders
- Problem statement and Outcomes

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RESOLUTION by... that this Agricultural Service Board accept for information the update from the Alberta Plastics Recycling Group on the Agricultural Plastics Recycling program.

Initials show support - Reviewed by: Manager:

Agricultural Plastics Recycling in Alberta - Whitepaper

December 6, 2018

Executive Summary

This document intends to provide an update on the state of agricultural plastics management in the Province of Alberta. It provides a history of the recycling pilot programs, surveys and research that have been done in the past decade and the current need for a provincial agricultural recycling program. It also explores the programs in other provinces as positive examples of environmental stewardship. It is the Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group's (made up of 20 stakeholder associations and connected members and partners) recommendation for the Government of Alberta to put in place recycling legislation for grain bags and twine as soon as possible.

This whitepaper will be reviewed and updated at each APRG meeting with current information. This draft is dated **December 6, 2018.**

Introduction: The Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG)

In December 2016, a working group formed to advance the discussion and action on the topic of agricultural plastics recycling. The APRG gathered stakeholders for further discussion about a provincial solution for ag plastics recycling because of concerns over the lack of options for the waste material, combined with the absence of policy for a provincial agricultural plastics diversion program. From January to June 2017, the group met with over half a dozen producer groups and communicated to over 30 producer groups in the province (representing dairy, beef and crop agricultural producers among others) to update them on the topics and issues of ag plastics waste and recycling.

In August of 2017, a group of 70 representatives from municipalities and producer groups gathered for discussions about challenges and opportunities around agricultural plastics recycling. This was the start of discussions to form policy recommendations to present to the Government of Alberta.

To include a wide group of stakeholders, the APRG extended invitations to join to agricultural producer groups, retailers, manufacturers and others in November 2017.

Managing Ag Plastics Waste - Background

Agricultural plastics, in the form of baler twine, grain bags, bale wrap, silage plastic and feed bags of various sizes and materials are a problematic waste for agricultural producers and agricultural businesses and pose an environmental threat. Anecdotal evidence, as well as data from surveys (see links throughout the document), suggest plastics use is increasing with limited options for safe disposal. In 2013, CleanFARMS Inc., in partnership with Alberta Agriculture & Rural Development (ARD), initiated an Alberta Agricultural Waste Characterization Study, to identify and quantify significant sources of paper and plastic waste on Alberta farms. The study showed that the total agricultural film waste, including grain bags and silage plastic, is an estimated 3300 to 6400 tonnes per year in Alberta. At the same time, estimates for waste twine were 2000 to 6000 tonnes per year. These volumes represent a significant waste of resources, as well as pose a logistical waste management challenge. Landfills may prohibit or limit the disposal of some agricultural plastics, more specifically twine, because of the handling challenges it presents such as potential damage to equipment. Burning on farms was listed as one of the top disposal options for four out of five agricultural plastics categories in a 2012 Agricultural Plastics Recycling Agricultural Producers Survey (pg.20). Research shows that harmful compounds are

December 6, 2018 Page 1 of 6

released from burning plastic at low temperatures such as open burning, and emissions such as dioxins and furans accumulate in soil and bio-accumulate in fat as they move up the food chain.

"Overall, 82% of agricultural plastics users say they are concerned about how they deal with agricultural plastics, while 87% say it is important to them to be able to recycle agricultural plastics – in fact, just under half (44%) say it is very important. The majority, however, do not feel that they can do so – 74% say it is difficult for them to recycle agricultural plastics, with half (48%) saying it is very difficult, and 63% are dissatisfied with their current access to recycling agricultural plastics." 2012 Agricultural Plastics Recycling Agricultural Producers Survey (pg.20)

Prior to these surveys, in 2007, the Recycling Council of Alberta (RCA) established a working group with representatives from the Alberta Plastics Recycling Association (APRA), the plastic manufacturing sector, retailers, recycling project operators, Alberta Agriculture, Alberta Environment, and recyclers to look at options for agricultural plastic waste. This working group established a number of initiatives, including a series of pilot projects, to assess the viability of recycling agricultural plastics in this province. One of the conclusions of the group's work was the need for a provincial environmental stewardship program to provide sustainability for agricultural plastics recycling. As evidence from the pilot program shows, a provincial program would create equal access to recycling in all parts of the province, as well as guarantee volumes of waste to create economies of scale for plastics recycling.

Since the conclusion of the pilot projects, pockets of recycling have been established, and an increasing number of communities are interested in developing recycling programs. For example, Mountain View Regional Waste Management Commission has funded agricultural plastics recycling for a number of years. At the same time, counties, including Rocky View, Yellowhead, and Wheatland are collecting film materials such as grain bags and silage plastic, for recycling into products like garbage bags. The only challenge is, these programs only supply a recycling option to a very small percentage of the population.

Agricultural plastics management practices have been researched by the Alberta Government in a number of studies that point for the need for proper management including: Market-Based Solutions for Used Agricultural Plastics: Survey of Municipalities, Market-Based Solutions for Used Agricultural Plastics Part 2: Survey of Municipal Waste Authorities, Agricultural Plastics Recycling — Agricultural Producers Survey, Agricultural Plastics Recycling — Municipal Waste Authorities Survey.

The Solution

The largest challenge to expand recycling opportunities to all areas of the province remains the lack of a provincially-regulated ag plastics recycling program. With a provincial program, accessibility to recycling would increase, and agricultural producers in all agricultural-intensive regions of the province would have access to a well-resourced and environmentally beneficial recycling program. Environmental stewardship programs also ensure financial fairness, as those benefiting from the use of the product are the ones who contribute funding to have the material recycled.

This assertion has been supported by a number of organizations, including the recent resolutions passed by Alberta's Agricultural Service Boards in 2016, that the Ministry of Environment and Parks and the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry research, develop, and implement an agricultural plastics recycling program modelled after the pilot program in the Province of Saskatchewan. See appendix for the full resolution.

Who will oppose?

ARPG's participants include organizations representing industry, agriculture, municipalities and recyclers, who support agricultural plastics recycling program as a provincially-regulated program. Questions from the stakeholder groups include costs (such as environmental handling fees) that could be associated with a program. Cost is the largest area of apprehension for the producer groups representing agricultural producers. However, agricultural producers are a key stakeholder in this issue as the primary users of agricultural plastics, and those burdened with a lack of sustainable options for management of waste plastics. The majority agree that there is greater demand for recycling solutions than concern over cost and that an effective program will include consultation and communication about costs before the program starts.

Impacts to the Public

The stakeholder group has also considered the perspectives of the broader public and how they may be impacted, despite not being directly involved in the purchase, use, or end of life management of agricultural plastics. In many cases today, Albertans that live in municipalities with local recycling programs for agricultural plastics are paying for these programs through their municipal taxes. This cost-burden will be reduced or eliminated if the recycling program is transferred away from the municipality, toward those that either make or use the plastics. It is unknown whether the creation of an agricultural plastics program will result in increased costs of consumer products and those changes will depend if agricultural producers pass along any increased costs from their operation. It is believed that if this were to occur, the increased cost would be negligible. There are more perceived benefits to the public, from a change in practice to recycling from the current practice of burning plastics on farm, than negative impacts.

Accountability - advisory group

The APRG also feels that policy should allow for an advisory committee where producer groups and stakeholders actively participate in the development of the program and contribute to decisions. Because a program would be designed as a service to the agricultural producers who use the plastic, it is logical that they would contribute to discussions about the program design.

Scope of materials

With input and representation from the users of the plastics (the agricultural producers and their organizations) and the recyclers and processors of the material, the APRG believes grain bags and twine offer a good starting point for a provincial program. These are the two largest contributors to material volumes and have current available markets. Collection, processing and transportation logistics have also been established through current collection and past pilot programs.

Research and pilots will be conducted on the potential to recycle an expanded list of materials, with the goal to revisit the regulation after the initial material collection has been implemented successfully, to consider expanding collection to other types of agricultural plastics.

Other plastics materials, include but are not limited to:

 Bale wrap, silage plastic, boat/machinery wrap, green house plastic, net wrap, rope (square bale and other), mineral bags, and feed/seed bags

Page 3 of 6

Other Provinces

In Saskatchewan, <u>The Agricultural Packaging Product Waste Stewardship Regulations</u>, introduced after <u>agricultural waste stewardship research</u>, has made it mandatory that every grain bag seller develop and fund a program to recycle grain bags. This program started November 1, 2018. More information can be found **here**.

In Saskatchewan's EPR model, the first importers are responsible to ensure there is a program to recycle grain bags. In their case, the importers have selected an organization to run the program for them and to charge an environmental handling fee of 5-7% to cover the costs of the grain bag collection and recycling.

A series of government-funded pilot programs are available in Manitoba to recycle bale/silage plastic, twine and grain bags. Going forward, there is interest in taking an approach similar to Saskatchewan's.

Efficiencies and harmonization among provincial programs are particularly important for agricultural plastics recycling because of the importance of a level playing field to the agricultural industry. A Western solution would also prevent issues such as cross-border shopping.

Prepared by the Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG):

Organization	Sector/Representation
Agricultural Service Board	Board Representation
Association of AB Agricultural Fieldmen	Ag Fieldmen
Alberta Agriculture & Forestry	Government (ex officio)
Alberta Barley	Barley Producers
Alberta Beef Producers	Livestock
Alberta Cattle Feeders Association	Livestock
Alberta CARE	Recycling and Waste Management
Alberta Environment & Parks	Government (ex officio)
Alberta Federation of Agriculture	Producers
Alberta Milk Producers	Dairy Producers
Alberta Plastics Recycling Association	Plastics Recycling
Alberta Urban Municipalities	Urban Municipalities
Association (AUMA)	
Committed Ag Supply	Retailer
Crop Sector Working Group	Crop Producers
Merlin Plastics	Recycler
Olds College	Academia
Peavey Mart	Retailer
Recycling Council of Alberta	Recycling and Resource Conservation
RPC BPI Agriculture	Material Manufacturer
Rural Municipalities Association (RMA)	Municipalities
TAMA Canada	Retailer

Attachments:

- a. Ag Service Board's Resolution
- b. AAMDC Resolution

December 6, 2018

Page 5 of 6

12-15	Agriculture Plastics Recycling	Resolution
(ASB)	 Resolution Ask Implement a stewardship program with funding for collection and recycling of agricultural plastics Resolution Response Environment – not currently considering a recycling program but working on an education program in collaboration with Agriculture on environmental impact of burning plastics and current options for disposal Would need a regulation to implement a stewardship program and would require environmental fees ARMA – not currently within mandate to act on ag plastics Will be reviewing with Board of Directors and Minister and will provide input informally 	Status: Unsatisfactory
7-15F (AAMDC)	Resolution Ask: That Alberta Environment and Parks develop a recycling program for agricultural plastics in Alberta Resolution Response Agriculture and Forestry – Worked with Environment and Parks and CleanFARMS on a study showing that agricultural plastics contributed only 1% of waste sent to landfills. AF currently sits on a committee with AAMDC and other stakeholders to develop policy options for ag plastic recycling. Environment and Parks – Not currently considering a regulated recycling program for ag plastics.	Resolution Status: Intent Not Met

December 10, 2018

Dear Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG) Members and Provincial Stakeholders;

I'm writing this letter on behalf of the APRG Advisory Committee. We are continuing our efforts to bring forward recommendations for the management of agricultural plastics. In November Minister Shannon Phillips, Environment and Parks and Minister Oneil Carlier, Agriculture and Forestry announced funding for a province-wide agricultural plastics recycling pilot project. We have now submitted the application with details on the pilot program and we hope to have the government's formal approval within the next two months. As it stands now, we will look to build this as a three-year program for grain bags and twine with waste characterization and market studies to ensure we have accurate data about what materials are being generated and look for responsible management options for all agricultural plastics. Other details on the program will need to be finalized and can be shared once we have government approval.

At our meeting on December 6, the APRG Advisory Committee, made up of representatives from the organizations listed on page two, approved our Terms of Reference and nominated an executive committee for the next year. The APRG will act in an advisory role with the mandate to assist in the development of recommendations to manage agricultural plastics including promoting ag plastics recycling actions and programs that are sustainable and implementing the terms set out in the pilot program business plan. Alberta Beef has been elected as the program administrator to receive the funding from the government and the committee will determine the pilot operations and details.

Executive:

Al Kemmere, RMA, Chair Tammy Schwass, APRA, Secretary Christina Seidel, RCA, Executive Assar Grinde, AB Beef, Executive Neil Gorda, AB Barley, Executive

We would like to thank all the groups and individuals that have contributed and collaborated to support a provincial solution to manage agricultural plastics. We will continue to keep everyone informed with updates and news as we receive them and please continue share updates with your members. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach me or your committee representatives.

We wish you all a safe and happy holiday season,

Sincerely,

Al Kemmere, Chair APRG Advisory Committee akemmere@rmalberta.com

APRG Member Organizations:

Organization	Sector/Representation		
Agricultural Service Board	Board Representation		
Association of AB Agricultural Fieldmen	Ag Fieldmen		
Alberta Agriculture & Forestry	Government (ex officio)		
Alberta Barley	Barley Producers		
Alberta Beef Producers	Livestock		
Alberta Cattle Feeders Association	Livestock		
Alberta CARE	Recycling and Waste Management		
Alberta Environment & Parks	Government (ex officio)		
Alberta Federation of Agriculture	Producers		
Alberta Milk Producers	Dairy Producers		
Alberta Plastics Recycling Association	Plastics Recycling		
Alberta Urban Municipalities Association (AUMA)	Urban Municipalities		
Committed Ag Supply	Retailer		
Crop Sector Working Group	Crop Producers		
Merlin Plastics	Recycler		
Olds College	Academia		
Peavey Mart	Retailer		
Recycling Council of Alberta	Recycling and Resource Conservation		
RPC BPI Agriculture	Material Manufacturer		
Rural Municipalities Association (RMA)	Municipalities		
TAMA Canada	Retailer		

Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group – Stakeholder Advisory Committee

PROBLEM STATEMENT AND OUTCOMES April 27, 2018

Background

Agricultural plastics such as grain bags, twine, silage plastic, bale wrap and netting are essential on-farm tools. While end of life management can be challenging, limited recycling markets are available for some of these materials, and Alberta farmers have demonstrated their desire to support end of life stewardship programs.

In December 2016, a working group was formed to advance discussion and action on this topic. The working group, the Agricultural Plastics Recycling Group (APRG), started to reach out to stakeholder groups that use these products and help manage them at the end of their life.

From January to June 2017, meetings were held with a number of groups (including producer groups representing dairy, beef and crop farmers, Ag Service Boards and municipalities, among others). The APRG also hosted a half-day meeting in August 2017, where close to 70 representatives from producer groups and municipalities came together.

Based on this outreach and various resolutions from stakeholder groups, the APRG's goal is the establishment of a province-wide program for the recycling of agricultural plastics.

The group's mandate is to develop recommendations that may include a policy framework that considers multiple operating models, including; industry stewardship, delegated administrative organization/multi-stakeholder, and extended producer responsibility models to present to the provincial government.

Problem Statement

Growth and change in the agriculture industry has led to greater use of agricultural plastics by agriculture producers to help manage their storage, improve efficiencies and improve cost-effectiveness. While there are some local initiatives for some plastics, there is a lack of western Canadian or Alberta-wide options for environmentally safe end of life management of all agricultural plastic. The lack of comprehensive end of life management of agricultural plastics will continue to have adverse impacts on the environment and human health.

Program Principles

- Level playing field among all agricultural producers (ie. Amongst unique sectors and those who purchase imported plastics and local plastics)
- The program's financial responsibility is equitable amongst stakeholders costs do not get downloaded on the municipality or gov't
- Program is based on outcomes with target enforcement penalties
- Addresses the immediate and long term needs of ag producers

- Program is measurable, transparent, and can be adapted for ultimate efficiency and effectiveness
- Program acts in the best interest of human and environmental health

Desired Policy Outcomes

The following policy outcomes have been outlined by the APRG as a desired outcomes of a future end-of-life management program for agriculture plastics. There are some immediate outcomes and some that are long-term or "ultimate".

Outcomes

- An end-of-life management system for agricultural plastics that is self-sufficient and selfsustaining
- Adaptable and flexible end-of-life management that can adjust to changing global trends and events
- Western provinces collaborate to develop harmonized end-of-life programs to meet economy of scale requirements
- Elimination of improper disposal of agriculture plastics resulting in negative environmental and human health impacts. (ie. Burning and burying)
- When agricultural producers buy ag plastics, they know it will be 1. Recycled 2. What the process is for that to happen and how to participate
- Recognition (or continued acknowledgement) of agriculture producers as stewards of the environment who are part of the solution (entrenched social license for ag producers)
- Agriculture producers consistently recycle agricultural plastics.
- Expansion of end-of-life management programs to all agricultural plastics.
- Diversion of agriculture plastics into end-of-life management systems (75% of agricultural plastics).
- Development of an end-of-life management system that adheres to the waste hierarchy and continually seeks improvement and efficiency.
- The normalization of a recycling program for farmers such that recycling agriculture plastics is part of their day-to-day operations but acknowledge the extra on-farm steps to recycle the materials will require a culture change amongst agricultural producers.
- Manufacturers design agriculture plastic products that are recyclable
- Mechanism driving to an outcome: Program establishes a reporting structure to monitor and manage agriculture plastic recycling in Alberta
- Address the urgent issue of orphan and growing stockpiles of agricultural plastics at collection sites and on farms